

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/052,773	01/23/2002	Yoshiie Matsumoto	2002_0062A	4212
513	7590 07/17/2003			
WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P. 2033 K STREET N. W. SUITE 800			EXAMINER	
			DUONG, THOI V	
WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2871	
			DATE MAIL ED: 07/17/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**c		Application No.	cation No. Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/052,773	MATSUMOTO, YOSHIIE		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Thoi V Duong	2871		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 03 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status					
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19.	June 2003 .			
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b) Th	nis action is non-final.			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal matters, pr	osecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
4)🛛 (Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application	١.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7,10 and 11</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6,8 and 9</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:					
•	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
2	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice 2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/052,773 Page 2

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of Group I, claims 1-11, in Paper No. 6 is acknowledged.

Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-3 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ishihara et al. (USPN 5,263,888).

As shown in Figs. 3(a) and 3(b), Ishihara et al. discloses a method for connecting display panel substrates comprising the steps of (col. 4, line 7 through col. 5, line 34):

- (1) aligning the positions of and holding a first substrate 1a and a second substrate 1b whereon sealant material 3 is disposed so as to form a waste region in the inner side region of the edges of the first and second substrates;
- (2) inserting a spacer 5a, 5b in said waste region between said first and second substrates;
 - (3) setting said cell gap by pressing said first and second substrates:
 - (4) hardening said sealant material; and

Art Unit: 2871

(5) withdrawing said spacer,

wherein the hardening of said sealant material is performed by irradiation of ultraviolet light (col. 5, lines 31-34), and

wherein, if said connection is performed inside an air-tight processing chamber 7, a further step of evacuating said processing chamber from normal pressure to vacuum pressure is included between said step (1) and said step (2) (col. 4, lines 55-61).

The connecting method for display panel substrates further comprises, between said step (2) and said step (3), a step of returning said processing chamber in a vacuum state to normal pressure whilst maintaining a pressure difference of substantially zero between the expected cell interior space of said processing chamber and the space outside said expected cell interior space (col. 4, lines 61-66).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 4, 5, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishihara et al. (USPN 5,263,888) as applied to claims 1-3 and 10 in view of Murouchi et al. (USPN 6,036,568).

Ishihara et al. discloses a method for connecting display panel substrates that is basically the same as that recited in claims 4, 5 and 11 except for faying the first and second substrates on first and second surface tables. As shown in Figs. 3, 23(a)-23(b),

Art Unit: 2871

Murouchi et al. discloses a method for connecting display panel substrates wherein a first substrate 1 and a second substrate 2 are respectively fayed with and held on and second surface tables 6 and 7 by vacuum pumps 18, 12 (col. 5, lines 29-49) so as to provide a display without making scars the substrates (col. 1, line 66 through col. 2, line 2). Murouchi et al. also discloses that the hardening of the sealing material is performed by heating (col. 5, lines 24-25). Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method of Ishihara et al. with the teaching of Murouchi et al. by having the first and second substrates fayed with and held on first and second surface tables to prevent the substrates from fine scars which results in bad picture quality.

Finally, with respect to claim 5, if said connection is performed inside a processing chamber, it is obvious that the air suction power for evacuating said processing chamber as a whole is less than the air suction power for faying said first and second substrates to prevent the substrates from deflecting from the surface tables and hence to achieve accurate positioning of the substrates.

6. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishihara et al. (USPN 5,263,888) as applied to claims 1-3 and 10 in view of Wakita et al. (USPN 5,307,190).

Ishihara et al. discloses a method for connecting display panel substrates that is basically the same as that recited in claim 7 except for a tapered block-shaped spacer element whose thickness varies at constant rate. As shown Fig. 6, Wakita et al. discloses a method for connecting display panel substrates wherein the peripheral

Application/Control Number: 10/052,773

Art Unit: 2871

edges of upper substrate 1 and those of lower substrate 2 are regularly spaced by wedges 61 (col. 7, lines 27-29). Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method of Ishihara et al. with the teaching of Wakita et al. by employing a tapered block-shaped spacer element whose thickness varies at constant rate for adjusting the cell gap.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 6, 8 and 9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: none of the prior art of record fairly suggests or shows all of the limitations as claimed. Specifically,

Re claims 6, 8 and 9, none of the prior art of record discloses, in combination with other limitations as claimed, a spacer having a plurality of spacer elements layered together in a mutually separable fashion or a spacer having an additional auxiliary spacer element appended to said spacer for adjusting the cell gap.

The most relevant references, USPN 5,263,888 of Ishikita et al. (US'888) and USPN 5,307,190 of Wakita et al. (US'190), fail to disclose or suggest such spacer for adjusting the cell gap during manufacturing process. The US'888 reference only discloses assembly spacer members for initially spacing the two substrates. Meanwhile, the US'190 discloses using wedges for regularly spacing the cell gap

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably Application/Control Number: 10/052,773

Art Unit: 2871

accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thoi V. Duong whose telephone number is (703) 308-3171. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Kim, can be reached at (703) 305-3492.

Thoi Duong

07/10/2003

JAMES DUDEK PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 6